

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellant: Willie W. Ng) On Appeal to the
) Board of Appeals
Patent Application No.: 10/766,103)
) Group Art Unit: 2828
Filed: 01/27/2004)
) Examiner: Van Roy, Tod
)
For: " A Wavelength Reconfigurable Laser...") Date: September 2, 2008
)

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is filed is response to the official action of 27 August 2008. In the official action the Examiner asserts that the Appeal Brief previously filed in this application did not include a statement regarding the status of the claims. With all due respect, that assertion is believed to be in error. Please see page 2 of the original appeal brief. It should have been more than clear that since Claims 1, 3-17, 19- 23, 26, 29 and 31-39 as the subject of the appeal, that they had been finally rejected. Nevertheless in a spirit of cooperation the appeal brief has been revised to use the words "finally rejected" with respect to these claims.

The revised appeal brief is being filed with this response.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on

2 September 2008
(Date of Transmission)

Stacey Dawson
(Name of Person Transmitting)

/Stacey Dawson/
(Signature)

2 September 2008
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

/Richard P. Berg/

Richard P. Berg
Attorney for the Applicant
Reg. No. 28,145
LADAS & PARRY
5670 Wilshire Boulevard,
Suite 2100
Los Angeles, California 90036
(323) 934-2300 voice
(323) 934-0202 facsimile

Encls:

Appeal Brief (Revised)